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Mental Health: Why States Care

- Medicaid: **single largest payer** for mental health services in the US
- State-funded mental health systems have long served as the country’s behavioral health safety net:
  - State-supported asylums and institutions
  - Deinstitutionalization in 1970’s-1980’s
  - Persists in the “IMD Exclusion”
Mental Health: Why States Care

- Mental health is a cost multiplier for state Medicaid programs:
  - MACPAC report notes that for every age group, total spending for individuals with BH diagnoses was four times higher than for beneficiaries without.
- Individuals with mental health diagnoses more likely to:
  - Have chronic medical conditions
  - Use emergency department services
  - Experience multiple inpatient admissions and readmissions
- Die 15-20 years earlier than their peers
## A Tale of Two Systems

### Mental Health – General Services
- Emphasis on integrated care for the general population
- Focus on addressing needs in physical healthcare settings: primary care, FQHCs, school-based health clinics
- State role in building capacity for:
  - Assessment and screening
  - Team-based care
  - Care coordination/Care management
  - Co-location when feasible
  - Linkage and referral
  - Integrated data

### Mental Health – Specialty Services
- Services and supports for a narrowly defined population with “serious mental illness”
  - Definitions vary by state: typically diagnosis + functional assessment
- Services delivered by community behavioral health and related providers
- State role in:
  - Ensuring access to a range of services and supports
  - Supporting community tenure vs. ER, inpatient, corrections, homelessness
  - Major focus on: early identification, recovery, peer supports, dual diagnosis, trauma, role of state hospitals
Priority Issues/Policy Challenges for States

- Ongoing capacity/misalignment issues:
  - Training and uptake of integrated care strategies in primary care settings
  - Sustaining team-based care and coordination for the general Medicaid population
  - BH workforce (cultural/linguistic minority populations; older adults, rural areas)
Priority Issues/Policy Challenges for States

- Modernization of behavioral health systems:
  - Evidence based/best practices
  - Data, HIE/HIT
  - Capacity to participate in value-based purchasing
- Supporting a continuum of care for individuals with complex needs
- IMD policy barriers
Priority Issues/Challenges for States

- Increasingly: addressing “diseases of despair”:
  - Opioids, but other SUD as well: alcohol, methamphetamines
  - Social determinants of health
  - Suicide prevention and other upstream strategies
## Integrated Health: Key State Policies and Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medicaid Managed Care</th>
<th>States increasingly contracting for an integrated package of services; promoting integrated models: <a href="#">Arizona, Florida, Washington</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid 1115 Waivers</td>
<td>States such as <a href="#">New Hampshire, New York</a> leveraged 1115 DSRIP to fund broad delivery system reforms that support integrated systems and move toward value-based payment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Innovation Model Investments</td>
<td><strong>Two rounds of states</strong>; many have used SIM funds to train providers, develop integrated data capacity, stand up new payment models and quality measures to support integrated care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Homes</td>
<td>38 states now have <a href="#">approved health homes</a> that support team-based, integrated care models for individuals with two or more chronic conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Specialty Mental Health: Key State Policies and Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medicaid Managed Care</th>
<th>New York, Arizona have developed specialty managed care products that integrate physical and behavioral health services for individuals with serious mental illness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid 1115 Waivers</td>
<td>Major trend to use 1115 to develop SUD capacity and incorporate IMDs into continuum of care; administration has signaled similar opportunity for individuals with serious mental illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Innovation Model Investments</td>
<td>SIM states have/are using SIM funds to improve specialty systems: invest in data capacity, integrated care models, training for front-line staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Homes</td>
<td>Growing trend for specialty behavioral health homes for adults and children; often replacing traditional targeted case management services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1915i State Plan Amendments</td>
<td>At least six states are using 1915i to support home and community based services for people with SMI: Texas uses 1915i to support peer services and housing/employment supports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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